

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY Consultant Evaluation

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|------|--|
| PON | JMBER | | | |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| VALACIO | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------|--|-----------|----------|----------|
| School/Department | Purchasing | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Consultant | m2ec.com, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Contract Period | From January 8, 2007 | | To March 31, 2007 | | | | | | |
| Rating: 5 - Superio | or 4 - Satisfactory Plus 3 - Sa | atisfactory | 2 - Satisfactory Minus | 1- Un | sa | tisf | act | ory: | , |
| JOB KNOWLEDGE A | ND SKILL | | | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. Technical and proc | edural know-how to complete the proje | ect | 7. 74. | | Ť | $\overline{\Box}$ | Ŭ | _ | rĖ |
| 2. Knowledge of his/h | er specialty area | | * | | | | | | |
| 3. Ingenuity, creativity | , and innovation | | Wat 100 | | | М | | | |
| 4. General quality of t | he work performed | W | at | | - | | | | |
| PRODUCTIVITY | | | | | | ш | | | |
| 1. Services provided r | natched the specifications of the contr | act | 18A | Т | | | | | |
| 2. Results produced | | | | | _ | | | | |
| 3. Ability to meet goal | s as scheduled | | | | | Ħ | | | |
| 4. Success of the proj | ect | | | | \neg | | | | |
| COMMUNICATION | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Listening skills | Who. | | **** | $\overline{}$ | | Ė | | | |
| 2. Returned phone cal | ls, follow-up information, etc. in a time | ly manner | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | \dashv | \vdash | T | | ၂ |
| 3. Overall communica | | | NL | | \dashv | H | | | \dashv |
| 4. Overall accessibility | /availability | | ······································ | | \dashv | \Box | \dashv | | \dashv |
| INTERACTION | | . . | W | | | | | | |
| Working relationship | os with teachers and/or students | | | 1 | 7 | | -} | | \neg |
| 2. Ability to work as pa | urt of a team | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | - | \neg | \dashv | | \dashv |
| | information received as the project pro | ogressed | | | \dashv | | ᅥ | | \dashv |
| Rating: A - Agree | | *- | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Demonstrates depe | | Applicable | | | | | A | D I | N/A |
| | nuity/creativity/innovation | <u>,,,,</u> | | _ . | — | | | \dashv | \dashv |
| Performs well unde | | ** | | | | \dashv | \dashv | + | \dashv |
| 4. Effective when pres | | γLi | * It | | | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv |
| **** | early and uses correct grammar in writ | ten communic | eation | | | | \dashv | | \dashv |
| 6. Listens effectively | <u> </u> | | | | | + | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv |
| 7. Provides feedback | in a constructive and timely manner | *** | <u>.</u> | | _ | \dashv | \dashv | | \dashv |
| | equires little or no supervision | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | + | \dagger | \dashv | \dashv |
| | tudents with fairness, respect and inte | grity. | | | | \dashv | \dashv | + | 寸 |
| would hire this consult | ant again. 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | 71. | | | | | | J |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SIGNATURE | DF EVALUATOR | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | IJΑ | ATE | | | |
| | | | wan - Director Purchasing OF EVALUATOR | - | | | | | _ |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |